



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/706,076	11/03/2000	Richard A. Willems	PD99-2788	6153	
75	90 07/07/2003				
William J Kub		EXAMINER			
Hogan & Hartso 1200 17th Stree		STEELMAN, MARY J			
Suite 1500 Denver, CO 80	1202		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Denver, CO 00	7202		2122	~	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/706,076		WILLEMS, RICHARD A.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Mary J. Steelman	sheet with the co	2122 orrespondence address				
Period for Reply								
THE I - External after - If the control of the cont	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however within the statutory mining ill apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from t become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communic (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 N	lovember 2000						
2a)□		s action is non-fin	al.					
3)	,							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7).	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requiren	nent.					
· · ·	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
·	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>			

Application/Control Number: 09/706,076 Page 2

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-17 are pending.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Fig 8D, #870 & 872 (See Specification, page 26, lines 22-23). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: Figure 2, #216, Fig. 7, #730 & #732. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. Update the status of related applications as noted on page 1 of the Specification.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed.

Art Unit: 2122

Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claim1-17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-10 of copending Application No. 09 / 706050. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Symbolic debugger, with GUI, retrieving data from linked lists in memory.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Application/Control Number: 09/706,076 Page 4

Art Unit: 2122

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.Patent

6,158,045 to You, and further in view of "Compilers Principles, Techniques, and Tools" by

Alfred V. Aho, Ravi Stehi and Jeffrey D. Ullman, pages 432-433, 439, and 703-711.

9. You disclosed symbolic debugging services utilizing a client debugger object, a

connection object and a server debugger object. (Abstract, lines 9-11) "An addressing

abstraction is utilized to facilitate the use of target memory addresses..." The client debugger

object transmits debug requests to a target server debugger object. "Figure 4, the collection

represents a possible layout for the memory locations in which pointers to the objects of type

Aobject are stored...(col. 11, lines 42)." Although You discloses that memory is accessed, he

failed to disclose that memory can be structured as linked lists, and linked lists of linked lists

(binary tree). However, Aho disclosed the use of linked lists in memory (page 432-433 and

information retrieval from the nodes (page 439). Official Notice is given that binary trees are

special forms of linked lists whereby the first linked list can contain the head of a second linked

list. Traversal to access data contained in the nodes of data structures and data accessing are well

known.

Per claims 1 and 11:

Art Unit: 2122

-following a plurality of memory element descriptors of a machine readable record list to locate data in the memory of the computer system, where each memory descriptor is descriptive of data to be retrieved from memory of the computer system; (You: Fig. 11 (client requests) and col. 6, lines 42-52, "

-gathering data specified by the plurality of memory element descriptors; formatting the data into a buffer. (You: Col. 6, lines 20-22, "

Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to include information regarding binary trees as useful data structures in memory for storage and retrieval of information because linked lists are useful for creating arrays of unknown size, using non contiguous regions of memory, and retrieving data does not remove or destroy the item.

Per claim 2: (You: Fig. 4 and col. 11, line 32, col. 13, lines 12-13 and 22-23, "...a possible layout for the memory locations...")

Per claim 3: (You: Fig. 5, #511 and col. 55, lines 55-61, "The scalar types long, short, char, signed char, unsigned l9ng...are read and written transparently...Arbitrary blocks of memory and char strings are transported correctly.")

Per claim 4: (You: Col. 14, lines 34-36, "Retrieval from the collection has a uniform, polymorphic interface. This is achieved by calling the Get function which takes an object of the parameterized type.")

Per claims 5 and 12: (Aho: Page 446-449, "A Fortran compiler can create a number of data areas, i.e., blocks of storage in which the values of objects can be stored..." For each data area the compiler creates a memory map...")

Application/Control Number: 09/706,076

Art Unit: 2122

Per claim 6:

-constructing a record list, the record list comprising at least a first list element descriptor descriptive of data to be retrieved from a first linked list;

Page 6

-following a list head locator of the list element descriptor to a head of the first linked list;

-following links of the head of the first linked list to a first node of the linked list;

-interpreting at least one tag of the first list element descriptor to locate data of the node;

-extracting data from the node. (You: Col. 6, lines 20-30, "...exploit the capabilities of the debugger to gather dynamic information about the program...")

Per claims 7 and 12: (Official Notice that binary tree: node of first linked list contains a head of the second linked list are well known.)

Per claims 8 and 9: (You: Col. 8, lines 45-65, "...Multiple threads can execute concurrently or through simulated concurrency..." "...multiple processes and threads can be executing under the control of a single debugger." "Multithreaded programs can be debugged so that some threads may be stopped and others remain executing while the debugger is also executing." Per claim 10: (Aho: Page 439, "A pointer front points to the most recently created entry I the list." And "The implementation of lookup is done by scanning the list starting at the entry pointed to by front and following links until the desired name is found...")

Per claim 13:

-a collection driver for execution on the target machine; (You: col. 6, lines 38-43, "Interactive program debuggers...")

-a user interface capable of coupling to the collection driver; (You: Col. 6, line 43, "...graphical user interfaces."_

Application/Control Number: 09/706,076

Page 7

Art Unit: 2122

-a symbol resolution system capable of coupling to the user interface; (You, col. 6, lines 45-52, "...view his program through the representation of program symbols. The program symbols are typically the names of subroutines, classes, types, variables, and other program constructs..." -wherein the user interface comprises computer readable code for constructing an input record list containing records describing data to be captured, at least some records of the input record list containing information derived from symbols resolved by the symbol resolution system, and transmitting the input record list to the collection driver; (You: Col. 6, lines 20-30.) -wherein the collection driver further comprises code for interpreting the input record list and collecting operating system data into a capture buffer specified by the input record list, and transmitting the capture buffer to the user interface. (You: Col. 6, lines 1-52.) Per claim 14: (You: Col. 6, lines 20-22, "A program built on top of a primitive debugger can exploit the capabilities of the debugger to gather dynamic information about the program...") Per claim 15: (Official Notice: Retrieval of data from nodes of a tree are well known. Also see You, figure 11, preparing a client request.) Per claim 16: (You: Col. 55, lines 61-63, "The PDS streams provide a simple abstraction that allows objects to be moved from the writer of the stream to the reader of the stream." And col. 55, lines 55-60, "The scalar types ... are read and written transparently..." And col. 55, lines 62-

Per claim 17: (You: Abstract, line 9, "Clients can process locally and remotely.")

63, "...a buffered streaming class is provided..." Also see You, figure 5.)

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/706,076

Art Unit: 2122

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Steelman, whose telephone number is (703) 305-4564. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on (703) 305-4552.

The fax phone numbers are (703) 746-7240 for regular communications and (703) 746-7239 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Mary Steelman My Hulman

06/27/2003

TUAN Q. DAM PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 8